



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

11A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/518,270

12/16/2004

David Keith Roberts

NL 020547

3558

24737

7590

03/23/2007

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

LOUIE, OSCAR A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2109

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/23/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/518,270		ROBERTS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Oscar A. Louie		2109	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Oscar A. Louie. (3) Mike Scaturro.  
 (2) James Myhre. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wolfgang (WO98/11492).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was made between the applicant and the examiner regarding the interpretation of Claim 1. Applicant's arguments consist of interpreting that the reference Wolfgang discloses watermarking a portion of an original image, the image consisting of a frame; where as the applicant's claim is directed to watermarking a portion of a plurality of frames that make up an image in an audio-visual signal. Both the examiner and applicant understand that the current claim language is broad enough to be interpreted as either interpretation. Applicant will further review the reference Wolfgang and submit their response to the Office for the examiner to review.